

Intuitionistic Logic

Exercise sheet 8

December 12, 2017

Exercise 1. [Heyting algebras]

We have defined HA's as posets with extremal elements, and in which every two elements a, b have a meet $a \wedge b$ (greatest lower bound), a join $a \vee b$ (least upper bound), and an implication $a \rightarrow b$ (an element s.t. $a \wedge c \leq b \iff c \leq a \rightarrow b$).

Sometimes (e.g., in the lecture notes on the course webpage) HA's are required to satisfy in addition the following distributive laws:

- $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
- $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$

Show that the validity of these laws actually follows from the HA properties.

Hint: use the properties of implication to prove the first law; then use the first law to prove the second.

Exercise 2. [Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra]

Consider the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of IPC. We proved in class that for every formulas φ, ψ , we have $\overline{\varphi \wedge \psi} = \overline{\varphi} \wedge \overline{\psi}$, that is, the meet of the classes $\overline{\varphi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ exists and coincides with the class $\overline{\varphi \wedge \psi}$. Prove the analogous characterizations for join and implication:

- $\overline{\varphi \vee \psi} = \overline{\varphi} \vee \overline{\psi}$
- $\overline{\varphi \rightarrow \psi} = \overline{\varphi} \rightarrow \overline{\psi}$

Exercise 3. [Frame definability and intermediate logics]

Recall that the Kreisel-Putnam axiom KP is the following formula:

$$(\neg p \rightarrow q \vee r) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow r)$$

The Kreisel-Putnam logic, **KP**, is the intermediate logic axiomatized by KP. Mevedev's logic, **ML**, is defined as the logic of all frames \mathfrak{F} which are finite Boolean algebras without the top element, i.e. $\langle \wp(X) - \{\emptyset\}, \supseteq \rangle$ for a finite X .

Show that for any finite frame \mathfrak{F} , $\mathfrak{F} \Vdash \text{KP} \iff \mathfrak{F}$ satisfies I-saturation:

$$(\text{I-sat}) \quad \forall x \forall y, y' \in R[x] \exists z \in R[x] \text{ s.t. } zRy \text{ and } zRy' \text{ and } E_z = E_y \cup E_{y'}$$

where E_w denotes the set of endpoints accessible from w . Use this characterization to show that **KP** \subseteq **ML**.